## REMARKS

## DOUBLE PATENTING OBJECTION

Claims 7-13 and 16-26 were rejected only under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of Chigasaki et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,672,330 in view of Matsui et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,648,308 and provisionally rejected as being unpatentable over claims 14-25 of copending Application No. 10/270,515. Without admitting to the propriety of the rejection, the Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer which overcomes these rejections.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants contend that the above-identified application is now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and reexamination are respectfully requested.

NUM-100-03

Ser. No. 10/669,529

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees that may be due in connection with this response to Deposit Account No. 50-1417.

Respectfully submitted,

Gene W. Stockman

Registration No. 21,021 Attorney for Applicants

MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. 1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 370 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Telephone: (703) 684-1120

Facsimile: (703) 684-1157
Date: December 9, 2004